.	DDECTION D. CALICHADD				
1	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner				
2	WAYNE STRUMPFER				
3	Deputy Commissioner ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)				
4	Lead Corporations Counsel JOANNE J. ROSS (CA BAR NO. 202338)				
5 Corporations Counsel 6 Department of Corporations 1515 K Street, Ste. 200					
					7
8	Telephone: (916) 324-9687 Facsimile: (916) 445-6985				
9	Attorneys for Complainant				
10	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS				
11	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation of				
13	THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS) File No.: 100-3134				
14	COMMISSIONER,) 1) ACCUSATION TO REVOKE				
15	Complainant,) LICENSE;) 2) CITATIONS AND DESIST AND				
16	vs.) REFRAIN ORDER;				
17) 3) ORDER VOIDING LOANS JRMM, L.L.C. dba Mister Cash,				
18	Respondent)				
19))				
20	Complainant, the California Corporations Commissioner, ("Commissioner") is informed and				
21	believes, and based upon such information and belief, alleges and charges Respondent as follows:				
22	INTRODUCTION				
23	On May 5, 2006, the Commissioner of the Department of Corporations ("Department")				
24	issued to Respondent, JRMM, L.L.C., doing business as Mister Cash, a deferred deposit transaction				
25	originator license (File No. 100-3134) pursuant to the California Deferred Deposit Transaction Law				
26	("CDDTL") set forth in California Financial Code section 23000 et seq. (All future references to				
27	sections are to the California Financial Code unless indicated otherwise.)				
28	Respondent violated numerous provisions of the CDDTL. If the Commissioner had known				
	-1-				
	ACCUSATION TO REVOKE LICENSE; CITATIONS AND DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER; ORDER VOIDING				

LOANS

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respondent would engage in a scheme that violated multiple provisions of the California Financial Code, the Commissioner would have denied a license to Respondent. In view of the extent, nature and duration of violations, the Commissioner believes it is in the best interests of the public to revoke Respondent's CDDTL license pursuant to section 23052. The Commissioner has issued a Desist and Refrain order, twenty-two (22) citations in the amount of \$2,500 per citation, and an order voiding 22 loans totaling \$5,094.10 made by Respondent, pursuant to sections 23050, 23058 and 23060, respectively.

I

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- The Department is responsible for enforcing provisions of the CDDTL and authorized to pursue administrative actions and remedies against licensees who engage in violations of the CDDTL.
- 2. Since at least July 15, 2006, Respondent has engaged in the business of deferred deposit transactions by offering, originating and making deferred deposit transactions.
- 3. A deferred deposit transaction is a written transaction whereby one person gives funds to another person upon receipt of a personal check along with an agreement that the personal check shall not be deposited until a later date. These transactions are also referred to as "payday advances" or "payday loans."
- 4. Respondent engaged in CDDTL violations. On August 28, 2007, the Commissioner's examiner visited Respondent's business location after giving the licensee oral advance notice of the Department's examination.
- 5. The Department examiner's subsequent review of Respondent's business revealed the CDDTL violations described below warranting a revocation, penalties and restitution to consumers.

II

DEFERRED DEPOSIT TRANSACTION LAW

6. Respondents are required to comply with legal requirements imposed on all CDDTL licensees that include agreeing not to prosecute or threaten with criminal prosecution any customer for failure to comply with the terms of the agreement.

2	deposit transactions, stating, in relevant part:		
3	(b) A customer who enters into a deferred deposit transaction and offers a personal check to a licensee pursuant to an agreement shall not be		
4 5	subject to any criminal penalty for the failure to comply with the terms of that agreement.		
6	(c) Before entering into a deferred deposit transaction, licensees shall		
7	distribute to customers a notice that shall include, but not be limited to, the following:		
8			
9	(3) That the customer cannot be prosecuted in a criminal action in conjunction with a deferred deposit transaction for a returned check or be threatened with prosecution		
10			
11			
12	(d) The following notices shall be clearly and conspicuously posted in		
13	a business providing deferred deposit transactions in letters not less		
14			
15	consumer to collect any deferred denosit transaction		
16			
17	(e) An agreement to enter into a deferred deposit transaction shall be in		
18	writing and shall be provided by the licensee to the customer. The written agreement shall authorize the licensee to defer deposit of the		
19	personal check, shall be signed by the customer, and shall include all of the following:		
20	of the following		
21	(8) Disclosure of any returned check charges.		
22			
23	(9) That the customer cannot be prosecuted or threatened with		
24	prosecution to collect		
25	8. Subdivisions (a), (e) and (f) of section 23036, limit the type and amount of fees and		
26	charges that customers can be required to pay. These subdivisions, in relevant part, state:		
27	(a) A fee for a deferred deposit transaction shall not exceed 15 percent of		
28	the face amount of the check		
	-3-		

7. Section 23035, subdivisions (b), (c), (d) and (e) specify the requirements for deferred

1	(e) A fee not to exceed fifteen dollars (\$15) may be charged for the return
2	of a dishonored check by a depositary institution in a deferred deposit transaction. A single fee charged pursuant to this subdivision is the
3	exclusive charge for a dishonored check. No fee may be added for late payment.
4	payment.
5	(f) No amount in excess of the amounts authorized by this section shall be
6	directly or indirectly charged by a licensee pursuant to a deferred deposit transaction.
7	
8	9 Section 23037 limits a licensee's transactions and activities and states in releva

- 9. Section 23037 limits a licensee's transactions and activities and states in relevant part:

 In no case shall a licensee do any of the following: . . .
 - (f) Engage in any unfair, unlawful, or deceptive conduct, or make any statement that is likely to mislead in connection with the business of deferred deposit transactions.

Ш

RESPONDENTS' DEFERRED DEPOSIT TRANSACTION LAW VIOLATIONS

- 10. When questioned by the Commissioner's representative about the non-sufficient funds (NSF) checks, Respondent stated that it filed complaints about its customers' NSF checks with the Office of the District Attorney ("DA") in Shasta County in accordance with the DA's Bad Check Program. The complaints filed with the DA's Office about NSF checks require declaring under penalty of perjury that a complainant knows he or she is filing a criminal complaint.
- 11. Respondent filed criminal complaints about NSF checks under penalty of perjury with the DA's Office. As a direct result of Respondent's criminal complaints about NSF checks, Respondent's customers received letters from the Shasta County DA's Office threatening them with criminal prosecution if they failed to make restitution in accordance with the DA's Bad Check Program.
- 12. Each customer whose NSF checks are processed by the Bad Check Program are also assessed an administration fee of \$35.00 and a diversion fee of \$50.00 by the District Attorney.

-4-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 13. During the examination, the Commissioner's examiner requested that Respondent provide records that documented details about the NSF checks that they referred to the DA's office. In response, Respondent provided a log containing loan information about the bad debt/unpaid loans that revealed Respondent had sent 22 checks to the DA's Office in 2007. 14. In accordance with the Bad Check Program, consumers were charged additional fees as described in paragraph 12, above. Consequently, Respondent violated section 23036 (a), (e), and (f) by having excessive and unauthorized fees charged. 15. Although the licensee posted and distributed the required notices pursuant to section 23035 (c) and (d), it was not operating in accordance with its representations to the public. Similarly, the licensee's written agreement contained all the disclosures required by section 23035 (e), but it did not operate in accordance with the written agreement, thereby rendering the notices and agreements with consumers false and misleading. Thus, Respondent's actions violated section 23037(f), which prohibits deceptive and misleading conduct. 14
 - 16. Respondent's specific violations include the following CDDTL sections: 23036 (a), (e), (f), and 23037 (f). For 22 of Respondents' violations discovered during the Department's regulatory examination and review of records, the Commissioner is issuing Citations 1 through 22, inclusive. The Citations are being issued for false and misleading transactions with the 22 consumers shown in Exhibit A.

IV

COMMISSIONER'S AUTHORITY TO ISSUE CITATIONS AND DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER

- 17. Section 23058 gives the Commissioner's authority to issues citations, and states:
 - (a) If, upon inspection, examination or investigation, based upon a complaint or otherwise, the department has cause to believe that a person is engaged in the business of deferred deposit transactions without a license, or a licensee or person is violating any provision of this division or any rule or order thereunder, the department may issue a citation to that person in writing, describing with particularity the basis of the citation. Each citation may contain an order to desist and refrain and an assessment of an administrative penalty not to exceed two thousand five hundred dollars (\$ 2,500). All penalties collected under this section shall be

deposited in the State Corporations Fund.

- (b) The sanctions authorized under this section shall be separate from, and in addition to, all other administrative, civil, or criminal remedies.
- (c) If within 30 days from the receipt of the citation of the person cited fails to notify the department that the person intends to request a hearing as described in subdivision (d), the citation shall be deemed final.
- (d) Any hearing under this section shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and in all states the commissioner has all the powers granted therein.
- (e) After the exhaustion of the review procedures provided for in this section, the department may apply to the appropriate superior court for a judgment in the amount of the administrative penalty and order compelling the cited person to comply with the order of the department. The application, which shall include a certified copy of the final order of the department, shall constitute a sufficient showing to warrant the issuance of the judgment and order.

CITATIONS

18. Pursuant to Financial Code section 23058, Respondent is hereby ordered to pay to the Commissioner within 30 days from the date of these Citations an administrative penalty of two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for 22 citations for the total amount of fifty five thousand dollars (\$55,000).

DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER

19. By reason of the foregoing, the licensee has engaged in charging excessive fees and in deceptive and misleading deferred deposit transactions in violation of the California Financial Code sections 23036 and 23037. California Financial Code section 23050 provides in pertinent part:

Whenever, in the opinion of the commissioner, any person is engaged in the business of deferred deposit transactions, as defined in this division, without a license from the commissioner, or any licensee is violating any provision of this division, the commissioner may order that person or licensee to desist and to refrain from engaging in the business or further violating this division. If, within 30 days, after the order is served, a written request for a hearing is filed and no hearing is held within 30 days thereafter, the order is rescinded.

-6

Pursuant to Financial Code sections 23050 and 23058, JRMM, L.L.C., doing business as Mister Cash is hereby ordered to desist and refrain from violating Financial Code sections 23036 and 23037.

This Order is necessary for the protection of consumers and consistent with the purposes, policies and provisions of the CDDTL. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further order of the Commissioner.

V

COMMISSIONER'S AUTHORITY TO VOID TRANSACTIONS

20. Respondent willfully violated sections 23036 and 23037 of the CDDTL by

20. Respondent willfully violated sections 23036 and 23037 of the CDDTL by charging excessive or unauthorized fees and by entering into fraudulent deferred deposit transactions with at least twenty-two (22) consumers. Fraudulent transactions totaled at least \$5,094.10 in 2007. Therefore, the Commissioner seeks to void Respondent's transactions with at least 22 consumers and order the return of the consumers' funds in an amount that aggregates at least \$5,094.10.

- 21. California Financial Code section 23060 states:
 - (a) If any amount other than, or in excess of, the charges or fees permitted by this division is willfully charged, contracted for, or received, a deferred deposit transaction contract shall be void, and no person shall have any right to collect or receive the principal amount provided in the deferred deposit transaction, any charges, or fees in connection with the transaction.
 - (b) If any provision of this division is willfully violated in the making or collection of a deferred deposit transaction, the deferred deposit transaction contract shall be void, and no person shall have any right to collect or receive any amount provided in the deferred deposit transaction, any charges, or fees in connection with the transaction.

ORDER VOIDING DEFERRED DEPOSIT TRANSACTIONS

- 22. Pursuant to California Financial Code section 23060 the above described deferred deposit transactions for at least twenty-two (22) consumers totaling at least \$5,094.10 are declared void.
 - 23. Further, Respondent had no right to collect or receive any amount provided in the

-7-

deferred deposit transactions or any charges or fees in connection with these consumer transactions and are hereby ordered to immediately return any amount and all charges and fees, of at least five hundred and fifty-two dollars and fifty-three cents (\$552.53), that Respondent directly or indirectly received for these transactions.

VI

COMMISSISONER'S AUTHORITY TO REVOKE RESPONDENTS' CDDTL LICENSE

24. Section 23052 states the grounds for revocation of a CDDTL license:

The commissioner may suspend or revoke any license, upon notice and reasonable opportunity to be heard, if the commissioner finds any of the following:

- (a) The licensee has failed to comply with any demand, ruling, or requirement of the commissioner made pursuant to and within the authority of this division.
- (b) The licensee has violated any provision of this division or any rule or regulation made by the commissioner under and within the authority of this division.
- (c) A fact or condition exists that, if it had existed at the time of the original application for the license, reasonably would have warranted the commissioner in refusing to issue the license originally.

CONCLUSION

Complainant finds, due to the foregoing, that Respondent violated sections 23036 and 23037. Therefore, the Commissioner is justified in revoking Respondent's California deferred deposit transaction license pursuant to section 23052. The Commissioner was also justified, based upon the foregoing, in issuing 22 citations to Respondent, issuing a Desist and Refrain order, and voiding at least 22 transactions pursuant to sections 23058, 23050 and 23060, respectively.

///

1				
2				
3	pursuant to Financial Code section 23052.			
4				
5	Dated: February 6, 2008 Sacramento, California			
6				
7		PRESTON DuFAUCHARD Colifornia Corporations Commissioner		
8		California Corporations Commissioner		
9		By		
10		·		
11		ALAN S. WEINGER Lead Corporations Counsel		
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				